UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Ebodio Villeda-Medina Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00156-PLM
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings o	of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that woul existed – that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in:
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	cted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruction	uctive device or any other dangerous weapon
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has no	
	Alternative Finding	gs (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has cor	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more i Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	s prescribed in:*
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	
	Alternative Findin	igs (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	
	Part II – Statement of the Rea	
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detent a preponderance of the evidence that:	
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released	
	ndant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the con	·
	Part III – Directions Regar	ding Detention
correction	the defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gene his facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awa The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to co	niting or serving sentences or held in custody pending

Date: July 31, 2017 Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge

States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.